



REGULATIONS OF THE AFRICAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ABUJA

11.0 STUDENT HONOR CODE AND STUDENT CONDUCT CODE: REVIEWS AND APPEALS

11.0.1 REVIEWS

11.0.1.1

The Student Conduct Committee, make a recommendation to the Vice President Academic or his or her designee(s) concerning a decision and sanctions to be imposed, if any.

11.0.1.2

If the Vice President Academic or his or her designee determines that a decision was not based upon evidence presented to the hearing body, or the decision did not comply with the requirements of law or applicable University regulations, the decision may be rejected and/or the case may be re-heard. The Vice President Academic or designee will state the reasons for the rejection of the decision. In any case, the Vice President Academic or designee may accept, modify or reject the recommended sanction. The Vice President Academic or designee must state the reasons for any modification or rejection of the recommended sanction.

11.0.1.3

The Vice President Academic or designee may reject his or her prior decision or sanctions and may reopen the case for further proceedings in the following circumstances:

- a) The Vice President Academic or designee is subsequently presented with information, testimony, facts or other evidence from a criminal or civil proceeding relevant to the University proceeding and/or decision made by the Vice President Academic or designee based on the

University proceeding; and

- b) This information, testimony, facts or other evidence was not available to the University at the time of the University proceeding.

Decisions or sanctions imposed under the Student Conduct Code shall not be rejected solely on the basis of an adjudication of not guilty, an acquittal, a dismissal, a reduction of charges or other finding in favor of the student in a criminal or civil proceeding.

11.0.2 APPEALS

After a decision has been made and in accordance with the criteria and procedures set forth in the African University of Science and Technology, Abuja Regulations 3.0 – 5.0, each and every accused student and alleged victim that participated in an administrative review, administrative hearing, or committee hearing may submit one appeal request to the appropriate University reviewing authority as outlined below.

11.0.2.1

Appeals shall be directed to the appropriate reviewing authority as follows:

- a) Appeals of decisions made by the Chief of Operations/Housing or a designee should be directed to the Vice President Academic or designee.
- b) Appeals of decisions made by the Student Conduct Committee or designee should be directed to the Vice President Academic or designee.
- c) Appeals of decisions made by the Vice President Academic or designee should be directed to the President or designee.

11.0.2.2

All appeals must be in writing and submitted to the appropriate reviewing authority within five (5) business days from the date of the decision letter.

11.0.2.3

The reviewing authority may decide the appeal on the written submission alone, or, if the reviewing authority believes additional information is necessary, the reviewing authority may request a meeting with the person making the appeal. When the reviewing authority requests a meeting in conduct cases involving both an accused student and an alleged victim, the reviewing authority shall provide an opportunity for both participants to meet individually with the reviewing authority. During the meeting(s), the accused student and alleged victim may have one support person present, but that support person may not speak on behalf of the accused student or alleged victim. The failure or refusal to meet with the reviewing authority upon the authority's request or invitation shall not affect or constrain the ability of the reviewing authority to issue a decision. Participants who fail to respond to a request or invitation to meet within five (5) business days after the reviewing authority makes the request or invitation shall be deemed to have refused the request or invitation and the decision may be rendered by the reviewing authority without further delay. Similarly, the reviewing authority need not delay the decision if a participant fails to attend a meeting at its scheduled time.

11.0.2.4

The basis for filing an appeal is limited to one or more of the following grounds:

- a) The student's rights were violated in the hearing process in a manner which materially affected the outcome of the case.
- b) New relevant material evidence or information has been provided that could not have been discovered at the time of the hearing. This basis for appeal is not available when a student fails to attend a hearing after receiving proper notice.
- c) The information presented did not support the decision by a preponderance of the evidence (more likely than not) standard. In cases where an accused student is found not responsible and the alleged victim participated in the underlying case, that alleged victim may appeal on the grounds that the preponderance standard was met.
- d) The sanction(s) imposed were not appropriate for the violation, taking into account both prior misconduct and mitigating circumstances.

11.0.2.5

If the underlying case involved an alleged victim, the accused student's appeal will be shared with the alleged victim. The victim is given the opportunity to write a response, which will be considered by the reviewing authority. If the alleged victim submits the appeal, it is shared with the accused and the accused has the opportunity to write a response, which will be considered by the reviewing authority.

11.0.2.6

The decision on the appeal should be made within ten (10) business days following receipt of all written submissions and completion of any meetings, except in exceptional circumstances. The decision of the reviewing authority shall be the final decision of the University and no further appeals are allowed. The reviewing authority may remand the case for a new hearing or may accept, modify, or reject/vacate the decision or sanction imposed, and shall state the reasons.

11.0.2.7

Disciplinary sanctions which may result in limits being placed on extracurricular activities and/or registration will take effect immediately. However, once an appeal is requested, the sanctions will be stayed and will not take effect until the appeal process has been completed. If no appeal is requested, the final action with respect to the above will take effect immediately.